UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,884	08/27/2007	Karl G. Csaky	NIHA 0383	8820
	7590 03/30/201 WASHBURN LLP	0	EXAMINER	
CIRA CENTRE	E, 12TH FLOOR		AUDET, MAURY A	
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/588,884	CSAKY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MAURY AUDET	1654		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MAILING I	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 August 2006</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) accepted or b) objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	4) 🖂 Internion Commen	(DTO 442)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

The claims are drawn to various products and methods of using 5 fragment C16Y peptide (it appears, though not labeled equivalently in the sequence description), all having at least the 8mer core of SEQ ID NO: 2. This application is a 371 National Stage of PCT/US04/04142, of which this Examiner conducted the Search Report and Written Opinion the same/nearly same subject matter (SEQ ID NOS: 1-5 found to be free of the art). SEQ ID NO: 2 constitutes the special technical feature; however, more than one method of use is claimed which breaks unity of invention under Rule 13.2 (permitting only a single product, method of making, and/or method of use; not multiples of any thereof), as noted below.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a method of use I:
- treating ANY 'condition associated with' neovascularization, using any of 5 peptides, 8-12mers SEQ ID NOS:'s 1-5.
- II. Claims 13-19, drawn to a method of use II:
- treating ANY type of cancer, using any of 5 peptides, 8-12mers SEQ ID NOS:'s 1-5.
- III. Claims 20-25, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition or kit comprising any of 5

Art Unit: 1654

peptides, 8-12mers SEQ ID NOS:'s 1-5 (a product is a product, thus the kit instructions are not deemed to lend patentable weight absent evidence to the contrary, for treating e.g. ANY 'condition associated with' neovascularization v. treating ANY type of cancer).

Lack of Unity

An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories: (1) a product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or (2) a product and a process of use of said product; or (3) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or (4) a process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or (5) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and 1.476(c).

Rule 13.2 Single Product, Method of Making, and/or Method of Using Requirement of Unity

Rule 13.2 defines that:

(e) Combinations of Different Categories of Claims. The method for determining unity of invention under Rule 13.2 shall be construed as permitting, in particular, the inclusion of any one of the following combinations of claims of

different categories in the same international application:

(i) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for a use of the said product,

(See Annex B to PCT Administrative Instructions, Rule 13.2).

Thus, multiples of the any of the product, method of making, or method of using break unity of invention. Here, Applicant has claimed two very distinct methods of using (any condition association with neovascularization v. any type of cancer).

Requirement for Election of a Single Distinct Method of Use if either Group I or II is Elected as the Invention

Groups I's ANY condition associated with neovascularization and Group II's ANY type of cancer contain an innumerable number of distinct inventions therein. A search for any condition or type of cancer is not coextensive with other conditions/types of cancers, nor would art one read on art of another, absent evidence to the contrary. Thus, if Group I is elected as the invention, Applicant must elect and amend the claims to a SINGLE condition associated with neovascularization. Whereas, if Group II is elected as the invention, Applicant must elect and amend the claims to a SINGLE type of cancer; to which the elected invention (Group III) will be examined on the merits as drawn to, using any of 5 peptides, 8-12mers SEQ ID NOS:'s 1-5.

This requirement is not to be taken as an election of species, but rather as an election of a single invention, since each compound is assumed to be a patentably distinct invention, in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Art Unit: 1654

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CRF 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

In re Ochiai/Brouwer Rejoinder-Rejoinder of 1 Method of Use Option upon the Finding of Allowable Product

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1654

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MAURY AUDET whose telephone number is (571)272-0960.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA, 3/27/2010

/Maury Audet/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654